

LibertyColumns.com Library

Volume IV
(2013, January through June)

Daniel R. Quintiliani

LibertyColumns.com Library: Volume IV (2013, January through June)
by Daniel R. Quintiliani
Completed July 6, 2013

Dedicated to the public domain according to the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public
Domain Dedication on July 6, 2013

CONTENTS

- Preface / *pg. 4*
- Death Penalty for Global Warming Skeptics: Abortion Clinic Bomber Logic from Prof. Richard Parncutt / *pg. 7*
- Burning the Truth Away / *pg. 9*
- By Any Other Name: A Disturbing Trend Within The GOP / *pg. 11*
- Discrimination vs. Terrorism: Two Different Enemies / *pg. 13*
- Et Tu, Brute: A Wake-Up Call For The Catholic Church / *pg. 15*
- On Capitalism and Meat / *pg. 17*
- "Six Strikes": My Letter To The ISPs / *pg. 18*
- New Pope, Same Liberal Media / *pg. 20*
- Obama: America's Abusive Husband & Father / *pg. 22*
- Are We Dealing With A Greater Evil? / *pg. 24*
- The Most Important Freedom In The Bill Of Rights / *pg. 26*
- Desensitization And The War On Privacy & Property Rights / *pg. 28*
- True Hate In The Immigration Bill / *pg. 30*
- "Scientific" Studies Seek To "Prove" The Left's Political Stereotypes / *pg. 32*
- Conservative Bloggers Under Attack: A LibertyColumns.com Leak / *pg. 34*
- 2014: Obamacare Has Created The Conditions For Communist Revolution / *pg. 39*
- Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication / *pg. 42*

PREFACE

From 2009 through late October 2012, I ran a blog known as the X-Cop Fly Company (www.xcopfly.com) which varied in its nature over the years. I was never able to decide what I wanted it to be – the site had been a personal journal, political commentary, personal photos, and humorous videos at various times.

However, over time people were noticing my political columns, and some of my columns were reproduced at Brian Duffy's Repeal the 17th Amendment blog (<http://repealthe17thamendment.blogspot.com>), with myself being interviewed on several episodes of his podcast, and eventually my site was listed at Librabase (www.librabase.com), a blog aggregator with a rating system similar to Digg's.

It became apparent that I needed a separate blog devoted to conservative and libertarian political commentary. LibertyColumns.com (www.libertycolumns.com) was created in June 2012, with several columns from the X-Cop Fly Company re-posted there.

As with X-Cop Fly Company, I opted to waive all rights on most of the self-written material, choosing Creative Commons' CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication, while retaining the copyright on a few things (and, of course, avoiding violating others' copyrights)

One of the things I did with X-Cop Fly Company is publish a series of eBooks known as *The Fly Papers*. These eBooks, separated into volumes, contained all posts (usually 6 months' worth per volume) with copyrighted content stripped, and the eBooks are themselves waived under the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. This was done for several reasons, most notably the lack of public domain material in the face of popular copyleft and non-commercial-use licensing. Completely waiving the material would encourage historical preservation, increase financial opportunities for all who would make use of it, discourage anti-American multiculturalism, and discourage the restrictive nature of copyright, which is increasingly being viewed as a super-property right with infinitely-expanding terms.

In order to legally waive the rights on *The Fly Papers*, copyrighted content (such as quotes from news articles) was summarized in italicized brackets. However, as the X-Cop Fly Company began to include political commentary, the chapterless post-by-post nature made this material impossible to read.

After setting up LibertyColumns.com, I decided to make a similar *LibertyColumns.com Library* eBook series. As these are original columns rarely making use of material from other sources, the need to summarize linked articles is rare and, if needed, is done via simple footnotes.

Volume I of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, released in July 2012, contained all posts from 2009 through 2011. These were not "LibertyColumns.com posts" but rather X-Cop Fly Company posts which were copied over to LibertyColumns.com after LibertyColumns.com was created. By including them in *LibertyColumns.com Library*, the columns become easier to read (as per the formatting described above).

Volume II of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, released in September 2012, contained all posts from January through August 2012. The May 2012 column ended the copied-over X-Cop Fly Company posts, with the June through August columns originating from LibertyColumns.com.

Volume III of *LibertyColumns.com Library* contained all posts from September 2012 through the week of December 16, 2012. Volume III was the "Doomsday Edition" of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, as it covered the blog up to the week of December 21st, 2012. According to various predictions, both scientific and religious (some of which gave December 23rd), anything from a massive social or political change to all-out Armageddon was expected to happen on that date. The existence of Volume IV is proof that nothing unusual happened (excluding the ongoing destruction of America by Barack Obama, his Democratic allies, and our Occupy Wall Street Republicans in Congress - a destruction which has accelerated even more since Obama's re-election that November).

Volume IV of LibertyColumns.com Library contains all posts from December 30, 2012 through June 2013. For various reasons, including a surgery I had earlier this year, not every week had a column. However, there were plenty of columns released, which have been included in this book.

This book is dedicated to the public domain according to the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. A copy of this waiver (public domain) has been included at the end of this book. Note that this book makes frequent references to others' names and trademarks – these belong to their respective owners, who are not affiliated with this book or its author.

I hope this work proves itself enjoyable to many and will encourage further expansion of the public domain and a loosening of copyright laws and lessening of copyright terms around the world. On July 6, 2013, I announced on the site that LibertyColumns.com columns would no longer be published on a weekly basis. I look forward to working on a Volume V once enough material has been published.

Daniel R. Quintiliani (owner, LibertyColumns.com)

July 6, 2013

Death Penalty for Global Warming Skeptics: Abortion Clinic Bomber Logic from Prof. Richard Parncutt

December 30, 2012

The blog *Tallbloke's Talkshop* recently discovered a paper written by Professor Richard Parncutt of the University of Graz (Austria). Published October 25, 2012 and sneakily revised after the paper came to light, Prof. Parncutt calls for the death penalty for global warming skeptics. Prof. Parncutt uses the pejorative and inaccurate term¹ “denier”, frequently attacks the Pope and Catholicism, and (of course) attempts to connect our demands for thousands of years of lack of climate records to Holocaust deniers (which he considers a lesser evil, though still advocating their imprisonment).

Prof. Parncutt argues that complete rejection of, or being a skeptic of, global warming makes someone liable for all deaths in the future caused by starvation and diseases he assumes will result from tampering with nature, as well as any related political and economic crises.

Like Parncutt's apocalyptic predictions for mankind, society, and the global economy, abortion clinic bombers assume that their murder and destruction (of the facility and its current occupants) will prevent more murder and destruction (via abortions the facility performs). While this is likely the case, there is always the chance that the doctor may need to/choose to move to another specialty, would change religious or political views (and possibly use his experience to become a pro-life activist). The doctor may decide to retire within the next few months, or he/she might sell the building to a relocating law office. The same would apply to occupants of the building, who may be pro-life on their own time, not be devoted to the pro-choice cause enough for their opinion to matter, or are only working there temporarily because “it pays the bills”. Clients in the waiting room may still be questioning whether or not they should have an abortion, and if they (and their children) should survive a bombing, the clients would most certainly go through with it at another abortion clinic.

¹ For more information, see the column "Global Warming 'Denial' – The Opposite of Holocaust Denial", available in *LibertyColumns.com Library: Volume II (2012, January through August)*. (The aforementioned column was hyperlinked from here.)

As abortion clinic bombers make pro-life advocacy look evil and destructive, Prof. Parncutt's Final Solution makes environmentalism look evil and destructive. Plenty of environmentalists, including the minority who do not believe in climate change (warming or otherwise) and/or reject overpopulation doomsday theories, would be appalled that one of their own would engage in this kind of violence in their name.

Prof. Parncutt also suggests that his legislation put into practice might result in the Pope in the year 2050 naming him a saint. Plenty of abortion clinic bombers probably have similar delusions of grandeur.

As we approach the 40th anniversary of *Roe v. Wade* next month, we need to remember that genocide of the unwanted and manipulation of life and birth in the name of overpopulation doomsday theories pose a threat to all stages of growth, to people of all sexes, races, and beliefs. People like Prof. Parncutt, who promote and carry out extreme and violent solutions for their ultra-long-term speculations about mankind and the planet Earth, should recognize the true dangers to mankind and the planet Earth – themselves and the beliefs they promote.

Burning the Truth Away²

January 11, 2013

In 1933, the 300th anniversary of Martin Luther's 95 Theses, the Nazis engaged in a famous burning of "un-Aryan" books, including works of literature by Jewish authors.

In 1966, John Lennon noted the sad fact that the Beatles were more popular than Jesus Christ. This resulted in public burnings of Beatles records.

An English textbook in Britain for first grade children was recently brought to light. Published by a Lebanese company's innocent-sounding English language imprint "Garnet Press", children are subliminally faced with a disturbing illustration of the Middle East, where the label for Israel has been replaced with "Occupied Palestine".

Tomorrow, January 12, 2013, in Southington, CT, near Newtown, an activist group called "SouthingtonSOS" will host a "trade-in", where violent video games and movies will be destroyed in an "appropriate" manner in exchange for donated gift certificates.

What do all these situations have in common?

These are attempts by people to burn and destroy the truth they don't like to hear. The contribution of Jewish people to society, the power our anti-Christian media machine holds, the current owners of Holy Land, and the enjoyment of video games which you believe to be psychologically harmful, are burned, destroyed, and killed rather than dealt with.

You may be an anti-Semitic Nazi nut, but you cannot burn what proves you wrong or engage in genocide.

2 Technical issues resulted in a press release being lost forever. That post noted a large donation resulting in my name appearing in *Hacking Politics: How Geeks, Progressives, the Tea Party, Gamers, Anarchists and Suits Teamed Up to Defeat SOPA and Save the Internet*, edited by David Moon, Patrick Ruffini, and David Segal. This book is a compilation of written material related to the "SOPA Strike" of 2011 and other material related to malicious computer crime laws, and actions by politicians and copyright holders, which destroy personal and economic freedom on the Internet. The missing press release was noted in a post prior to this column. After the Obama-influenced suicide of Aaron Swartz, a memorial post immediately followed "Burning the Truth Away" which incorporated much of the missing post's subject matter.

You may be a devout Christian, but you cannot avoid the need to defend your faith when the inventors of music as we know it today highlight that the media is against you.

You may be a Muslim, or just not like the fact that Israel is strong and its stronger allies will come to its aid, but you cannot raise children with lies instead of the truth you believe they should fight for.

You may support gun control or hate the US military, but you cannot teach children that weapons and war are merely a disposable game of poor taste.

When a society avoids, erases, censors, and burns what it doesn't want to acknowledge, that society is unprepared when truth finally cannot be avoided. By burning the opposition and killing your opponents, you are not promoting your views, you are only pretending your enemies do not exist, and when you cannot burn the truth anymore, you are unprepared for what will happen when the truth will burn you.

By Any Other Name: A Disturbing Trend Within The GOP

January 22, 2013

As is the case in Europe, abortion is slowly becoming an acceptable and non-controversial contraceptive practice in the United States. While this was always the case for Democrats and RINOs such as Mitt Romney and Chris Christie, people such as John McCain and Ron Paul have been promoting for years the idea that abortion is a “states’ rights” issue. As was the case with the enslavement of African-Americans, personhood would be decided by state.

Many Republicans point to the fact that violent crimes – such as theft, rape, and murder – are violations of state, not federal, law. Like slavery, these Republicans claim that personhood would be a subjective interpretation by a state government.

There are several flaws with this covert attempt by the pro-Big-Pharma GOP to keep abortion legal:

- States are guaranteed a republican form of government. A republican form of government cannot be re-interpreted to create a Muslim theocracy, the lack of a judicial branch, or a royal family.
- Rape is rape. A state cannot decide that rape by police officers is not a rape.
- Theft is theft. A state cannot define private property. It can invoke eminent domain powers, but it is still an exchange of property, not the beginning of socialism and subsequent invasion by a state-run Red Army.
- Slavery is slavery. Under slavery, blacks were property of whites, forced to work with no pay, and they were beaten, raped, and killed.
- Murder is murder. While most people define murder as killing, murder is technically defined as the killing of the innocent.

States *enforce* violent crimes, but they cannot *define* violent crimes.

Roe v. Wade, decided 40 years ago this year, nationalized obvious and willful violations of the Fifth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the US Constitution. Rather than holding the states responsible for this form of slavery, all pregnant women have become slaveowners.

Like the Democrats' alleged opposition to Big Oil, Republicans are increasingly not afraid to hide the fact that they not only support Big Pharma's desire to keep abortion legal, but unanimously support multilateral trade agreements which penalize Catholic-leaning nations that refuse to legalize abortion. The primary election of the pro-abortion Mitt Romney in 2012 is a milestone in this disturbing trend. As we Republicans did with the "states' rights issue" of slavery in the Civil War, we must again fight this modern equivalent of slavery rather than work for pay by evil men and women who seek to keep abortion legal, funded, and encouraged all over the world.

Discrimination vs. Terrorism: Two Different Enemies

February 1, 2013

In 2010, President Obama repealed “don’t ask, don’t tell” regarding open homosexuality in the military.

Last week, in January 2013, Obama’s Pentagon lifted its ban on combat positions for women.

Both of these actions belong among the notable anti-discrimination moments won during the history of this country.

This is the problem.

The Muslim terrorism we are at war with has no respect for women, condoning the “sacred” beating of wives for refusing to have sex. As for gays, Muslim extremists stone curious teenage boys to death. Some Muslim groups kidnap 8-year-old girls for a violent “circumcision” procedure which will reduce their pleasure for the rest of their lives. Adultery is punished with violent mutilation as well.

No doubt women and gays in America will be human shields for the terrorists, and will be subject to kidnapping and more horrible wounds and deaths than everyone else. American military leaders will no doubt take advantage of this fact, and discrimination will no doubt occur in their decision making.

If the neocons succeeded their fake attempt at re-creating a fake World War II, their final “Axis of Evil” nation, North Korea, would likely be under attack now. In situations like this, avoiding discrimination of open homosexuals and women would not be an issue. For Muslim terrorists, however, our opposition to discrimination angers their intent to force Sharia Law on the world, and will motivate them to further attack America, Israel, and our other allies.

Fighting discrimination is something we can all do in our daily lives. Fighting Muslim terrorists is dangerous and requires appropriate decision making. To throw “tolerance” in the face of violent Muslim terrorists who oppose it is dangerous. You are not in the Middle East to support women’s rights. You are not in the Middle East to have gay sex. You are in the Middle East to destroy enemies of America and Israel who are intent on destroying secular democratic republics and violently wounding and killing people everywhere. Let’s not throw any additional political wood in the fire.

Et Tu, Brute: A Wake-Up Call For The Catholic Church

February 5, 2013

Since at least 1891, when Pope Leo XIII released *Rerum Novarum*, the Catholic Church has promoted a mixed market economy, with a large role for government regulations, welfare programs, and labor unions. These views were dogmatized, with the responsibility extended more to lay people, by the Second Vatican Council in the mid-1960s.

Now we are seeing the effects of the Catholic Church's faith in government regulation and welfare programs. Having faith in the Democratic Party to represent the Catholic Church's interests, the American bishops are convinced that government can solve the nation's problems.

With Obamacare coming into its fullest extent next year, the atheism, homosexuality, and abortion promoted for decades by the Democratic Party has come back to hurt Catholic institutions[, *as Catholic hospitals, and all other American businesses, will be required to accept, and offer to their employees, insurance that covers abortion, sterilization, and birth control*]. The bishops in this country were somehow convinced they could win back the Democratic Party on social policy. Now all Catholic institutions in America are victims of the Democratic Party, being forced to obey the Democratic Party instead of peacefully following Catholicism.

As we conservatives and libertarians know, government is inherently evil by nature, and politicians will never truly regulate in the interests of the poor. If government does print money out of thin air, it will always be in its own interests, as we have seen in recent years with theft of American taxpayer money to for-profit foreign corporations under the marketing slogan of "too big to fail".

The Catholic clergy should give up trying to convince the anti-Christian Left to all become Catholic, and instead join us here on the Right. There's plenty of room on the Right for charity, and you don't have to steal for it. Labor unions are also compatible with the Right when joining one is voluntary. Such labor unions would allow for self-regulation, and the threat of a lawsuit could also encourage ethical behavior (the latter was promoted by Reagan-era economist Milton Friedman). Having the Catholic Church on our side would make a free-market economy more just, kinder, and much more effective and efficient.

With statism, you are a servant of the state. With religion, you are a servant of God.

It is time for all Catholics to take a second look at the role of government in society and the economy. It should be obvious by now that putting your confidence in man can only damage Christianity, and putting your trust in the Lord will enhance it.

On Capitalism and Meat

February 23, 2013

On February 1st, 2013, DNA tests on hamburgers manufactured for businesses in the UK and Ireland, including many which were manufactured for Burger King, proved that they contained trace amounts of horse DNA.

Two weeks later, Fox News carried an article debating health and other issues regarding the consumption of horse meat.

Capitalism, in its purest libertarian form, allows for manufacture, consumption, and genetic manipulation of any food or drug. This not only allows for eating any form of meat (less humans), but also allows for genetically-modified organisms, growth hormones, various chemical poisons, and other unhealthy material in our food, provided nobody involved is engaging in force or fraud.

Eating horse meat disturbs most Americans, as does eating other “pet” animals like cat and dog (which are³ popular in parts of Asia). For this reason, there is no need for regulation in this country prohibiting buying, selling, or eating these foods, as such a small minority of people (if any) would want such a thing. While some states do have such laws, our sense of civilization prevents the need for a new law. Remember that each new law subtracts at least one freedom, and every law is almost always accompanied by vaguely-related special interest baggage which is much more harmful to ourselves and our country than any culturally unpopular form of meat.

The lack of demand for laws outlawing socially unacceptable forms of meat further supports the fact that America can survive without being an over-regulating Nanny State. Capitalism lets you choose your food. Your Nanny does not.

³ I linked to an article on Snopes here stating that while the "cats in our Chinese food" jokes are just stereotypes, they do indeed eat "pet" animals in parts of Asia.

"Six Strikes": My Letter To The ISPs

February 27, 2013

(From a letter sent [via a page run by] Demand Progress. The "six strikes" system officially started on Monday, February 25, 2013.)

Dear AT&T, Cablevision Systems, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon, et al.:

I am writing you regarding the "six strikes" anti-copyright-infringement program.

By engaging in this program, you are placing the American economy and the state of abused and psychologically needy individuals in grave danger, as well as dedicating yourself to the causes of cybercriminals and Muslim terrorism.

In your attempt to protect the interests of a few companies, half of which are foreign, un-American companies, you are adopting the policies such as those of Kim Jong Il, Muammar Gaddafi, and Mahmoud Ahmadenijad regarding political censorship of the Internet.

You, as a company, are implicitly showing support for pushing America into the category of terrorist and rogue nations, and by engaging in denial of service attacks ("throttling", click-through ads, politically biased quizzes) against alleged infringers, you are engaging in the same organized crime practiced by Anonymous and Lulzsec, which you claim you are fighting against.

You are placing yourselves in grave legal danger. Many businesses will be subject to incorrect allegations, employees will be forced to waste time, and you will cause business damage which holds serious legal penalties and may even result in class action lawsuits.

You are also harming nonprofits and churches, including institutions which engage in matters of drug and alcohol abuse, sexual abuse, and child molestation. You are indirectly harming these clients, including sexually abused minors, physically and psychologically.

Once a customer of yours, I cannot purchase any Internet service from you for fear of my financial survival and the legal danger you would be inflicting on my business.

For the legal danger you might have forgotten to consider by participating in this criminal scheme, I suggest you read my [July 13, 2012 column entitled "Graduated Suicide: How The 'Mafia ISPs' Have DoS'ed Themselves to Death"]⁴

I hope you have the sense of human decency to reconsider this issue.

Thank you,

Daniel R. Quintiliani

⁴ The column, which was linked below this paragraph, can be found in *LibertyColumns.com Library: Volume II* (2012, January through August)

New Pope, Same Liberal Media

March 19, 2013

Pope Francis, the first Pope of that royal name, was elected by the cardinals of the Roman Catholic Church on March 13, 2013.

Francis is the first pope of the Americas, a region that nobody believed (but many hoped) a pope would come from.

Like Benedict XVI, however, Francis is faced with a challenge – the liberal media.

Recall that when Pope Benedict XVI was elected, the Mainstream Media 1.0 hated him. He was not only very conservative but very educated, serving an academic position and acting as a ghostwriter for Pope John Paul II during his later years. Benedict XVI was very conservative during his papacy, most notably with his executive order allowing churches to vote to offer the Tridentine Rite (Latin Mass), though he tended to be liberal at times.

The liberal Mainstream Media did everything they could to dig up scandals on Benedict XVI, frequently including a call for him to resign with every article published (as well as consistent attempts to push him into allowing abortion, euthanasia, female priesthood, and gay marriage and adoption).

When Benedict XVI did resign, the Mainstream Media started pulling the same tricks on Francis I. Francis has already stated his opposition to gay families and abortion. Digging up a military scandal, and having Michael Moore release a fake photo of a non-Francis giving Communion to an Argentinian dictator, accompanies pushing the liberal agenda with regard to abortion, gay marriage, female priesthood, etc.

There is no reason for the Mainstream Media to do this. Catholics are not required by their faith to agree with the pope 100% on everything, nor is anyone forced to be Catholic. One can change their religion anytime, or start their own church, as the Society of St. Pius X did in their opposition to Vatican II and the New Mass.

Sometimes the Mainstream Media 1.0 and the liberal agenda it pushes is very obvious. This has consistently been the case with its constant attempt to bully the Catholic Church into approving abortion and other liberal causes. This happened during the reign of Benedict XVI, and will continue to happen under Francis I.

As is our right under a secular democratic republic, we are free to believe what we choose to believe. If you disagree with someone else's beliefs, feel free to tell them yours, but don't bully or judge them. Intimidation is never the answer.

Obama: America's Abusive Husband & Father

March 26, 2013

Obama has done some very disturbing things during his Presidency. Often, his “Hope & Change” seems like mental illness to those who believe in freedom and the right to private property and privacy.

Indeed this is true. Obama and his supporters act like an abusive husband/father in a broken home.

The abuser must assert his dominance. Obama accomplishes this by interventionist foreign policies which are anti-freedom, anti-America, and anti-Israel.

The abuser is controlling of his family. He needs to be involved when his wife and children are traveling. This is shown under Obama as nude airport scanners and invasive “searching” of children under the TSA.

The abuser must know who your friends and contacts are, what Web sites you visit, how you spend your money, and he must have the ability to isolate you from the public. We can see this in Obama’s policies regarding the Internet, such as his attempts with SOPA/PIPA and his shutting down of domain names, “cybersecurity”, the Internet Kill Switch, and the Internet sales tax he is likely to sign very shortly.

The abuser exploits poverty to keep the victims in his broken home. Obama had a role in the Copyright Alert System now in force by the major ISPs, in which only those who cannot afford to go to court against the RIAA/MPAA (residential customers) are victims of the various ISP-inflicted “punishments”.

The abuser pays close attention to his family’s behavior. He is always watching you, as Obama is with domestic drones.

The abuser has no sympathy for the weak. Obama’s various guidelines for finding suspicious persons include Constitutionlists who believe in individual rights, as well as environmentalists and animal rights activists, who believe they are standing up for the weak.

The abuser feels no guilt in cheating on his spouse. Obama's guidelines for suspicious persons include supporters of Judeo-Christian family values, those who oppose abortion, euthanasia, and alternative family structures.

Through violence, the abuser controls how healthy you are, as Obama does with Obamacare.

The abuser earns support from those who encourage the family to stay in the situation, including from other abusers, as well as pro-family people who are unaware of the abuse, such as local pastors. Neocons such as John McCain have consistently supported Obama's policies, asserting their belief that America is Obama's battlefield, supporting drones on American soil, and recently promoting an Internet sales tax and biometric identification. The NDAA, supported by Obama and McCain, gives the President complete control over your fate. The abuser asserts this same control over members of his family.

The abuser has his enablers. This includes Obama's misled voters and the Mainstream Media's propaganda, as well as the Occupy Wall Street movement, promoting Obama despite fighting his unjust pro-Wall-Street policies. Also included among Obama's enablers are the "Tea Party" Republicans, such as John Boehner and Paul Ryan. "Occupy Wall Street Republicans" such as Boehner and Ryan refuse to stand up against Obama, enabling Obamacare, deficits, the repealed Bush "tax cuts for the rich", Obama's funding of abortion and stem cell research, and all the other anti-Judeo-Christian, anti-America, anti-Constitution, and anti-capitalist policies.

Barack Obama, Old Guard Neocons, and Occupy Wall Street Republicans have been nothing but harmful to the United States. Abuse of Americans and their freedoms are rampant under this administration. We must stop becoming desensitized enablers of Washington's abuse, or we will continue to have politicians such as Obama and his allies, until the abuse to America ends in death.

Are We Dealing With A Greater Evil?

April 8, 2013

Much has happened since Obama took office. Politicians, Democrat and Republican, have stolen America's freedoms and compromised its defense. Thieves have stolen our money and sent it to foreign corporations, crooks have destroyed health care to make Americans tax evaders at birth, and a twisted, violent theology has been entering our courts.

Frequently we engage in activism to regain our stolen freedoms, values, prosperity, and integrity, whether it be the Tea Party Movement on the right or the Occupy Wall Street Movement on the left.

Every time, however, an individual from our personal groups are elected, there is a sudden and unexpected change to their values, unanimously supporting destructive policies and lying that they are fighting against them.

But is there more to this story?

Note the following:

- Planned Parenthood and Obamacare, which slaughters millions in their genocide against the unwanted, continues to receive funding from "pro-life" politicians. Often trade agreements, supported unanimously by both parties, encourage or mandate legalized abortion and penalize nations that stand against it (frequently nations in Latin America). Recall also the 2012 Republican ticket: Mitt Romney is pro-choice, and Paul Ryan refuses to discuss "social issues".
- Invasive security procedures at airports border on sexual abuse of children.
- "End-of-life counseling" encourages the euthanasia of the elderly.
- Mainstream doctors in a post-Obamacare nation are now discouraging preventative screening for breast and prostate cancer.
- The American banking system operates in the interest of every country, except America, which they deliberately destroy.

- Supposed advocates for freedom (liberals, feminists) encourage Islam's treatment of women as a valid personal choice. Islam's inhumane and violent laws are being used in court cases against non-Muslims.

As we can see, the elderly, children, the pre-born, Jews, Christians, freedom of religion, and the justice system are the targets of our politicians. Feminists promote violent treatment of women, atheists ensure that no religion is visible in public, liberals support death sentences for people they can't afford, and Christians are basing their faith on psychology rather than the Bible (or sacred traditions, or other testaments of Christ).

The targets in America seem not to be the enemies of special interests, but the enemies and beliefs of the Judeo-Christian God.

Are we fighting against crooks being paid off by special interests, or are we fighting the actual Devil, a murderer, a liar, the enemy of God, fighting God's chosen people and their heirs?

This issue is crucial to American politics and society and needs to be examined.

The Most Important Freedom In The Bill Of Rights

April 24, 2013

Over the past few months, we have had several gun control proposals in Congress, accompanied by the United Nations national/individual disarmament treaty and a media marathon of man-made violence.⁵

Many conservatives and libertarians believe that the 2nd Amendment, the right to keep and bear arms, is the most important Amendment of the Bill of Rights. They point out that the 2nd Amendment is not merely about self-defense or hunting, but as a check on government tyranny, frequently quoting the statements by Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence.

While the 2nd Amendment may function this way, the right to keep and bear arms is not the keystone of the Bill of Rights. This may sound strange, but the keystone of the Bill of Rights is actually part of the 1st Amendment: Freedom of Religion.

Americans stand by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights not because it is the law of the land, but because they believe in freedom. If Americans did not believe in freedom, they would not insist on freedom, and there would be no freedom.

Right now there are two major threats to freedom of religion:

1. Modern atheism, which uses not only the court system but any means necessary to eliminate public display, discussion, or practice of religion. The ACLU, Freedom From Religion Foundation, and Americans United are the biggest repeat offenders. Removing nativity scenes, attempting to dig up religious gravestones in military cemeteries, banning teaching of Judeo-Christian creation myths, and eliminating even a moment of silence for private prayer in any religion, are notable instances in this group.

⁵ This is an obvious allusion to the then-recent Boston Marathon bombings.

2. Islam, which believes not in freedom, but theocracy. The sinful and unethical behaviors broadcasted from the Left Coast provide credence to their theocratic agenda. As the enabler of sin and unethical behavior, Muslim leaders often teach that freedom must be eliminated from America. Islam has no room for freedom, only the theocratic legal system outlined in the Quran. Unlike the Bible, there is no room for interpretation or apologies for a theocratic past, and also unlike the Bible, their religion is eternally mandated through force. Many people have been killed, many places have been destroyed, and many things have been censored. Islam cannot exist in its entirety under a free society, and Muslim leaders have no desire to tailor it for one.

As we can see, when people do not believe in freedom, they do not work for freedom, and they neither have nor want freedom. The basis for all freedom in the Bill of Rights is Freedom of Religion – the freedom to believe in freedom, and to ensure that freedom remains the law of the land.

Desensitization And The War On Privacy & Property Rights

May 5, 2013

About a week ago, the Electronic Frontier Foundation released "Who Has Your Back?" A traditionally libertarian and anti-regulation civil liberties organization, the EFF is now making ridiculous and ludicrous claims about social networking companies and search engines. Facebook and Google are supposedly fighting for your privacy rights in Congress, Twitter requires a warrant for access to the content you publicly post, and AT&T, who was granted immunity by a bipartisan Congress after the EFF successfully sued them for illegal collusion with the NSA, is now fighting for your privacy as well.

Claims that companies like Facebook and Google, which require you give them your real first and last name and (in certain cases) your phone number, are protecting your privacy, provide a glimpse of a key weapon in the war on privacy and property: desensitization.

We often see fictional "anti-"malware programs and "Nigerian spam" make these same requests of us. Yet legitimate companies are engaging in these same tactics – a different group of unknown people, doing the exact same thing, and "it's all OK".

The EFF's report also commends cloud-based and other remote backup services, an ongoing threat to private property rights being forced upon the public. If a phishing message offered to take care of your banking, will, estate, and other personal data for you, would you fall for it?

Desensitization is a very useful weapon in Washington's fight against personal and economic freedom. With desensitization, you can make sexual abuse and manufacturing pornography valid airport security procedures. You can make plastic into money. You can make private property the "intellectual property" of someone else. You can support the Second Amendment, but "assault" weapons don't count.

Through repeated advertising by these privacy-invasive companies, America has become desensitized to loss of privacy rights. Many of these companies, such as Facebook, serve no useful purpose – a phone call, e-mail, or simply waiting until you see the person again can do the exact same thing, and without putting a carbon copy of your life into the hands of unknown people. (It's true – think about it!) We need to start examining the violations of freedom we have allowed to be acceptable. If we do not, there will be no freedom at all, and there will be no need for a “Who Has Your Back?” report, because no one will care.

True Hate In The Immigration Bill

May 19, 2013

The Gang of Eight's immigration bill, currently under way in Congress, was to feature a biometric-based national ID card, required for any American to work. This proposal would require employers to scan these national ID cards, placing information into a databased owned by the federal government. Without this national ID card, no one would be able to work in the United States.

As Ron Paul points out, this may eventually be required for all Americans to make a purchase. This possibility brings to mind the biblical Book of Revelation, where under the reign of the Antichrist, "no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark" (Rev 13:17 KJV).

The amendment to the bill which contained this proposal failed to pass, but Sen. Marco Rubio intends to fight for the biometric national ID once the bill reaches the floor.

Republicans in Congress, eager to encourage and protect modern "Mexican-American Slavery" for their large corporate donors, always hop aboard these occasional "immigration bills" using marketing slogans like "a path to citizenship" to keep people in slavery a little while longer.

If these RINOs did indeed care about minorities, they would publicly acknowledge our modern "Mexican-American Slavery" and fight it, not promote it.

Republicans are constantly accused of racism and hate, most notably by the Mainstream Media 1.0. "Path to Citizenship" proposals are thus politically healthy. But what does creating a national federal database of all Americans' fingerprints, DNA, and the like have to do with racism? Even the KKK believes in liberty for white people only, while Washington hates and destroys liberty for all – how "free country" is that?

During Bush II's post-9/11 laws which decreased freedom in the name of national security, many quoted Ben Franklin's statement that "those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety." Since Obama was elected, freedom has been given up in the name of access to health care and fighting bullying and obesity. Likewise, Americans need to stop falling for, or pretending to support, the belief that fighting discrimination is more important than fighting for freedom.

“Scientific” Studies Seek To “Prove” The Left’s Political Stereotypes

May 26, 2013

Last week I received an e-mail from an individual named Lisa Miller of AcademicEarth.org. Ms. Miller works in “open education”, and produced a video, with information derived from several scientific studies, alleging that political ideology is genetic rather than learned. However, these studies are based on the stereotypes of liberals being creative, complex, and open-minded, and conservatives being inflexible, rigid, stuck-up, and living in irrational fear.

These studies are flawed for several reasons.

First of all, what is a “conservative” and what is a “liberal”?

What is “conservatism”? Are conservatives the Religious Right? Are they the isolationist and cultural paleoconservatives like Pat Buchanan? Are they the neoconservatives, who were originally FDR-era Democrats, whose creativity led to the partially-private Medicare Part D? Are they free-market libertarians, who are not afraid of having little to no government? Do they embrace globalism and trade agreements, or do they reject it on Constitutional grounds? Or are they a little of everything, creative enough to come up with ideas of their own?

What is “liberalism”? Are they people who believe the UN can end world poverty, or note their ties to special interests? Are they afraid of gun rights? Are they inflexible in their belief that government can solve the world’s problems? Are they the “stuck-up” elite, like Warren Buffett and George Soros, or the truly poor who have faith in government? Do they favor a mixed market economy, or are they Marxists who would throw it all away? Or are they *also* a little of everything, *also* creative enough to come up with ideas of their own?

In most of the world, “liberal” refers to “classical liberalism” from which all American ideologies derive, and “social democrat” refers to America’s “progressivism” or “socialism”.

Second of all, here are [four] examples of why these studies aren’t “proof” of anything[.]

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, an extreme right-winger in the social spectrum, wants all Americans to be more “open-minded” about the Holocaust. Liberals, are you just as “fearful” as conservatives are to allow him as a guest speaker in your childrens’ school?

Ron Paul, an extreme right-winger in the economic spectrum, frightens conservatives due to his desire to close all foreign military bases and ignore the Middle East. Liberals embrace this same foreign policy, but are they “fearful” to vote for the end of all government programs?

Creationist Kent Hovind is “inflexible” when it comes to the Bible, believing the world to be created in six days about 6,000 years ago. Hovind also believed that he was exempt from income taxes, due to his ministry being based in his home, which also doubled as Dinosaur Adventure Land, a creationist theme park. Hovind certainly was not afraid to engage in armed “self-defense” against IRS agents, currently serving time in prison for this.

The George W. Bush administration was probably the best chance of an end to abortion, euthanasia and the like. While this never happened due to the Republican elite’s support for pro-choice Big Pharma and pro-choice trade agreements, liberals were afraid that their genocide of the unwanted would come to an end.

Are these studies, dependent entirely on stereotypes, “proof” of anything? Or are they as “scientific” as the tobacco company studies of the 1990s? These studies certainly are “proof” that liberal bias dominates the field of education.

Conservative Bloggers Under Attack: A LibertyColumns.com Leak

June 14, 2013

Recent news events have shown that the Obama Administration has been targeting its political enemies, notably conservatives and libertarians.

In May, it was revealed that the IRS had been roughing up Tea Party organizations, organizations with the term “Patriot” in their name, and pro-life Catholic priests.

Around that time, there was also a leak about an anti-Obama AP reporter being wiretapped.

Sadly the only truly anti-Obama TV is the anti-American Russia Today, whose reporters have also been threatened in closed DC rooms.⁶

Now it has broke that the NSA, through their program PRISM, has collected massive amounts of telephone, Internet, and other information on innocent Americans. Bush II’s Total Information Awareness, supposedly shut down before it could, had this same aim in mind.

It is no secret that any enemy of Obama, left or right, is a victim of the Washington machine. A recent article at TownHall.com interviews an “insider” at the Department of Homeland Security, who claims that the government has been gathering data on all independent blogs, with at least a moderate conservative audience, and not connected to the Mainstream Media 1.0 TV channels. The insider claims that Obama is creating a separate “dissident” list, unrelated to existing terrorist watchlists.

This post is an original “LibertyColumns.com Leak” which will prove that the government has been watching LibertyColumns.com, my weekly column, since at least July 2012.

Before we continue, it is important to note that almost every site with a domain name collects logs automatically, and it is included into the hardware and software which runs the site. Usually the software includes a user-friendly display with lists and graphs.

⁶ I linked to, and embedded below the paragraph, a video regarding an RT journalist who was harassed and threatened by the Senate Media Relations Committee after she chased Rand Paul on the streets of DC, questioning Rand on both his endorsement of Mitt Romney in the 2012 presidential election and his changing attitudes towards the Bilderberg Group.

I cannot find evidence of this happening (yet) to my personal blog (danq.co). The logging software used by coredumpcentral.org (my page on the role-playing game Rogue) does not have this capability. Also, several domains containing former activities and businesses of mine going back to 2006 now redirect to LibertyColumns.com, including xcopfly.com (the political columns and podcasts from which are now part of this site), so more monitoring may have occurred.

The following pictures are based on screenshots of my logging software containing activity (first column = page loads) from .gov and .mil addresses between July 2012 and April 2012. These may either be live people viewing LibertyColumns.com from government and military buildings, or they may be “bot” software such as those related to PRISM. As you can see, the government addresses generally increase towards the end, even making the pie chart in November 2012.

LibertyColumns.com: July 2012

| Top 23 of 23 Total Countries | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------------|
| # | Hits | | Files | | KBytes | | Country |
| 1 | 13332 | 44.83% | 13141 | 51.60% | 389980 | 47.82% | US Commercial |
| 2 | 7274 | 24.46% | 6234 | 24.48% | 267209 | 32.77% | Unresolved/Unknown |
| 3 | 7222 | 24.28% | 4405 | 17.30% | 80118 | 9.82% | Network |
| 4 | 1201 | 4.04% | 1193 | 4.68% | 61510 | 7.54% | Ukraine |
| 5 | 373 | 1.25% | 185 | 0.73% | 6161 | 0.76% | France |
| 6 | 68 | 0.23% | 60 | 0.24% | 4164 | 0.51% | Non-Profit Organization |
| 7 | 65 | 0.22% | 60 | 0.24% | 1743 | 0.21% | Germany |
| 8 | 47 | 0.16% | 46 | 0.18% | 539 | 0.07% | US Educational |
| 9 | 33 | 0.11% | 30 | 0.12% | 893 | 0.11% | Russian Federation |
| 10 | 25 | 0.08% | 25 | 0.10% | 226 | 0.03% | US Government |
| 11 | 24 | 0.08% | 24 | 0.09% | 311 | 0.04% | Australia |

LibertyColumns.com: September 2012


| | | | | | | | |
|----|---|-------|---|-------|-----|-------|--------------------------------|
| 20 | 6 | 0.02% | 4 | 0.02% | 45 | 0.01% | Austria |
| 21 | 4 | 0.01% | 1 | 0.00% | 45 | 0.01% | British Indian Ocean Territory |
| 22 | 2 | 0.01% | 2 | 0.01% | 115 | 0.01% | US Military |
| 23 | 2 | 0.01% | 2 | 0.01% | 60 | 0.01% | Old style Arpanet (arpa) |
| 24 | 2 | 0.01% | 1 | 0.00% | 31 | 0.00% | Armenia |

LibertyColumns.com: October 2012

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------|----|-------|------|-------|----------------|
| 10 | 102 | 0.19% | 47 | 0.11% | 1540 | 0.09% | Norway |
| 11 | 75 | 0.14% | 71 | 0.17% | 1260 | 0.08% | US Government |
| 12 | 75 | 0.14% | 69 | 0.16% | 1802 | 0.11% | Canada |
| 13 | 43 | 0.08% | 41 | 0.10% | 1054 | 0.06% | France |
| 14 | 43 | 0.08% | 37 | 0.09% | 1634 | 0.10% | Japan |
| 15 | 38 | 0.07% | 35 | 0.08% | 1339 | 0.08% | US Educational |
| 16 | 36 | 0.07% | 36 | 0.08% | 386 | 0.02% | Belgium |
| 17 | 33 | 0.06% | 20 | 0.05% | 2207 | 0.13% | China |
| 18 | 30 | 0.06% | 1 | 0.00% | 267 | 0.02% | Turkey |
| 19 | 27 | 0.05% | 27 | 0.06% | 1097 | 0.07% | India |
| 20 | 26 | 0.05% | 25 | 0.06% | 443 | 0.03% | Italy |
| 21 | 17 | 0.03% | 12 | 0.03% | 489 | 0.03% | Bulgaria |
| 22 | 14 | 0.03% | 9 | 0.02% | 282 | 0.02% | US Military |
| 23 | 13 | 0.02% | 11 | 0.03% | 516 | 0.03% | Seychelles |

Usage by Country for November 2012

LibertyColumns.com



LibertyColumns.com - December 2012

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|------|-------|-------------|
| 16 | 232 | 0.21% | 160 | 0.24% | 4362 | 0.16% | Canada |
| 17 | 179 | 0.16% | 167 | 0.25% | 6225 | 0.23% | Brazil |
| 18 | 166 | 0.15% | 99 | 0.15% | 3094 | 0.11% | US Military |
| 19 | 129 | 0.12% | 30 | 0.04% | 2167 | 0.08% | Bulgaria |
| 20 | 106 | 0.09% | 70 | 0.10% | 5668 | 0.21% | Poland |

LibertyColumns.com - January 2013

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 12 | 623 | 0.68% | 246 | 0.40% | 12548 | 0.54% | France |
| 13 | 315 | 0.34% | 221 | 0.36% | 6407 | 0.28% | Canada |
| 14 | 280 | 0.31% | 169 | 0.28% | 5304 | 0.23% | US Military (mil) |
| 15 | 267 | 0.29% | 149 | 0.24% | 5594 | 0.24% | Netherlands |
| 16 | 265 | 0.29% | 134 | 0.22% | 5152 | 0.22% | Norway |

LibertyColumns.com - February 2013

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|------|-------|-------------------|
| 22 | 196 | 0.19% | 119 | 0.17% | 1290 | 0.05% | Italy |
| 23 | 169 | 0.16% | 72 | 0.10% | 3073 | 0.12% | China |
| 24 | 160 | 0.15% | 114 | 0.16% | 3578 | 0.14% | US Military (mil) |
| 25 | 153 | 0.15% | 70 | 0.10% | 3146 | 0.12% | Ukraine |
| 26 | 138 | 0.13% | 34 | 0.05% | 1962 | 0.08% | Bulgaria |


LibertyColumns.com - March 2013

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|
| 13 | 310 | 0.28% | 280 | 0.35% | 10590 | 0.40% | Brazil |
| 14 | 281 | 0.25% | 46 | 0.06% | 1731 | 0.07% | Netherlands |
| 15 | 242 | 0.22% | 157 | 0.20% | 4922 | 0.19% | US Military (mil) |
| 16 | 238 | 0.21% | 167 | 0.21% | 3418 | 0.13% | British Indian Ocean Territory |
| 17 | 152 | 0.14% | 54 | 0.07% | 2616 | 0.10% | Norway |

LibertyColumns.com - April 2013

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|
| 13 | 311 | 0.24% | 302 | 0.31% | 10728 | 0.31% | Japan |
| 14 | 296 | 0.23% | 60 | 0.06% | 2277 | 0.07% | US Military (mil) |
| 15 | 278 | 0.21% | 269 | 0.27% | 12084 | 0.35% | Non-Profit (org) |
| 16 | 176 | 0.13% | 171 | 0.17% | 2154 | 0.06% | British Indian Ocean Territory |

Finally, I have made my own chart, showing the percentages of .gov and .mil hits on LibertyColumns.com each month.



If you do a Web search on this, you will find people in forums and on Web sites noticing the same type of activity. One notable example is Coinflation.com, a site listing the base metal value of coins vs. their face value. Prior to a Bush-era ban on melting pennies and nickels for their often-higher-value metal content, Coinflation.com also noticed [and published] entries from government agencies in their logs.

“Political Dissident” Americans, who blog on other people’s domain names (Google Blogspot, etc.), need to know that if they have a moderate-to-large audience, they may be a victim of possible PRISM-like activity. The [screenshot-based images] and the chart image are dedicated to the public domain according to the CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. Be sure that this message, and these images, get out!

2014: Obamacare Has Created The Conditions For Communist Revolution

June 21, 2013

Millions of unemployed and underemployed Americans, who are too wealthy for Medicaid or too young for Medicare, are about to be overloaded with additional bills for private health insurance, mandated by Obamacare. "Tea Party" Republican politicians, more accurately called Occupy Wall Street Republicans, have consistently refused to put up any meaningful fight against this. The Supreme Court ruled that fining Americans for being poor is justified if considered a form of tax, enabling Obamacare to take place next year.

However, there is more to Obamacare than most people realize.

Lower-class, middle-class, and immigrant Americans who are too poor to buy private health insurance must now pay additional bills to private insurance companies. If they cannot afford it enough times, they will eventually go to court, whether for bankruptcy or inability to pay debts or Obamacare taxes. There is a risk, however, that a court may determine that an innocent person who cannot afford to pay their taxes (or do not understand American law completely, e.g. a new legal immigrant who can barely speak English) is doing so willfully. This person is now convicted of tax evasion.

Tax evasion is a felony. Felons go to jail. Felons cannot vote for the rest of their lives.

The 24th Amendment states:

"The right of citizens of the United States to vote in any primary or other election for President or Vice President, for electors for President or Vice President, or for Senator or Representative in Congress, shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any State by reason of failure to pay any poll tax or other tax."

Obamacare is essentially a poll tax. Those who are convicted, falsely or otherwise, of tax evasion for inability to pay private insurance companies, become felons and permanently lose the right to vote.

This results in two classes of people. The “Haves” who can afford to have a say in government, and the “Have Nots” who cannot.

The “Haves” include:

- Wealthy Americans
- Upper-middle-class Americans
- Elderly Americans (receiving Medicare via Social Security)
- Disabled Americans (receiving Medicare via Social Security Disability)
- Americans with low assets and income below the poverty line (receiving Medicaid)
- Americans who work for employers offering excellent health benefits

The “Have Nots” include:

- Lower-middle-class Americans
- Middle-class Americans who pay lots of bills
- Many new immigrants to America, legal or illegal (they often cannot speak English, let alone understand American law)
- Americans who were once unemployed for too long a time
- Americans who are underemployed (they can neither receive benefits from their company nor afford to pay for individual insurance)
- Americans who receive lousy benefits from their jobs and must pay the rest of the health care bills themselves
- Small business owners, contractors, and the self employed
- Americans who are in excessive debt, or those who have been through bankruptcy
- Those who meet these “Have Not” qualifications at one point in their life, even for only a few months, are forever “Have Nots”.

Now we have two economic classes of Americans.

While the Mainstream Media 1.0 will never encourage a Communist revolt, their overall liberal bias, when combined with the more-liberal factions of Media 2.0, will encourage far-left activism.

We now have an America run by foreign bankers and the politically connected – just like the Cuban Mafia in the 50s.

With these conditions in place, Communism begins to again receive legitimate attention in activism and in politics (and in crime). Muslim terrorists will likely join in, making things even worse. Remember that Communism is still a legitimate political choice in parts of Europe.

Whether these conditions will lead to massive increases in neocon fascism or a socialist People's Republic of America, we cannot say. But when Obamacare has reached its greatest extent, and the individual mandate is not repealed completely and quickly, whether it be the Occupy Wall Street movement or something with a new name, Communism will rise again.

Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication

CREATIVE COMMONS CORPORATION IS NOT A LAW FIRM AND DOES NOT PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICES. DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT DOES NOT CREATE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP. CREATIVE COMMONS PROVIDES THIS INFORMATION ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CREATIVE COMMONS MAKES NO WARRANTIES REGARDING THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION OR WORKS PROVIDED HEREUNDER, AND DISCLAIMS LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION OR WORKS PROVIDED HEREUNDER.

Statement of Purpose

The laws of most jurisdictions throughout the world automatically confer exclusive Copyright and Related Rights (defined below) upon the creator and subsequent owner(s) (each and all, an "owner") of an original work of authorship and/or a database (each, a "Work").

Certain owners wish to permanently relinquish those rights to a Work for the purpose of contributing to a commons of creative, cultural and scientific works ("Commons") that the public can reliably and without fear of later claims of infringement build upon, modify, incorporate in other works, reuse and redistribute as freely as possible in any form whatsoever and for any purposes, including without limitation commercial purposes. These owners may contribute to the Commons to promote the ideal of a free culture and the further production of creative, cultural and scientific works, or to gain reputation or greater distribution for their Work in part through the use and efforts of others.

For these and/or other purposes and motivations, and without any expectation of additional consideration or compensation, the person associating CC0 with a Work (the "Affirmer"), to the extent that he or she is an owner of Copyright and Related Rights in the Work, voluntarily elects to apply CC0 to the Work and publicly distribute the Work under its terms, with knowledge of his or her Copyright and Related Rights in the Work and the meaning and intended legal effect of CC0 on those rights.

1. Copyright and Related Rights. A Work made available under CC0 may be protected by copyright and related or neighboring rights ("Copyright and Related Rights"). Copyright and Related Rights include, but are not limited to, the following:

- i. the right to reproduce, adapt, distribute, perform, display, communicate, and translate a Work;
- ii. moral rights retained by the original author(s) and/or performer(s);
- iii. publicity and privacy rights pertaining to a person's image or likeness depicted in a Work;
- iv. rights protecting against unfair competition in regards to a Work, subject to the limitations in paragraph 4(a), below;
- v. rights protecting the extraction, dissemination, use and reuse of data in a Work;
- vi. database rights (such as those arising under Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases, and under any national implementation thereof, including any amended or successor version of such directive); and

- vii. other similar, equivalent or corresponding rights throughout the world based on applicable law or treaty, and any national implementations thereof.

2. Waiver. To the greatest extent permitted by, but not in contravention of, applicable law, Affirmer hereby overtly, fully, permanently, irrevocably and unconditionally waives, abandons, and surrenders all of Affirmer's Copyright and Related Rights and associated claims and causes of action, whether now known or unknown (including existing as well as future claims and causes of action), in the Work (i) in all territories worldwide, (ii) for the maximum duration provided by applicable law or treaty (including future time extensions), (iii) in any current or future medium and for any number of copies, and (iv) for any purpose whatsoever, including without limitation commercial, advertising or promotional purposes (the "Waiver"). Affirmer makes the Waiver for the benefit of each member of the public at large and to the detriment of Affirmer's heirs and successors, fully intending that such Waiver shall not be subject to revocation, rescission, cancellation, termination, or any other legal or equitable action to disrupt the quiet enjoyment of the Work by the public as contemplated by Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose.

3. Public License Fallback. Should any part of the Waiver for any reason be judged legally invalid or ineffective under applicable law, then the Waiver shall be preserved to the maximum extent permitted taking into account Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose. In addition, to the extent the Waiver is so judged Affirmer hereby grants to each affected person a royalty-free, non transferable, non sublicensable, non exclusive, irrevocable and unconditional license to exercise Affirmer's Copyright and Related Rights in the Work (i) in all territories worldwide, (ii) for the maximum duration provided by applicable law or treaty (including future time extensions), (iii) in any current or future medium and for any number of copies, and (iv) for any purpose whatsoever, including without limitation commercial, advertising or promotional purposes (the "License"). The License shall be deemed effective as of the date CC0 was applied by Affirmer to the Work. Should any part of the License for any reason be judged legally invalid or ineffective under applicable law, such partial invalidity or ineffectiveness shall not invalidate the remainder of the License, and in such case Affirmer hereby affirms that he or she will not (i) exercise any of his or her remaining Copyright and Related Rights in the Work or (ii) assert any associated claims and causes of action with respect to the Work, in either case contrary to Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose.

4. Limitations and Disclaimers.

- a) No trademark or patent rights held by Affirmer are waived, abandoned, surrendered, licensed or otherwise affected by this document.
- b) Affirmer offers the Work as-is and makes no representations or warranties of any kind concerning the Work, express, implied, statutory or otherwise, including without limitation warranties of title, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non infringement, or the absence of latent or other defects, accuracy, or the present or absence of errors, whether or not discoverable, all to the greatest extent permissible under applicable law.
- c) Affirmer disclaims responsibility for clearing rights of other persons that may apply to the Work or any use thereof, including without limitation any person's Copyright and Related Rights in the Work. Further, Affirmer disclaims responsibility for obtaining any necessary consents, permissions or other rights required for any use of the Work.
- d) Affirmer understands and acknowledges that Creative Commons is not a party to this document and has no duty or obligation with respect to this CC0 or use of the Work.